

COMMITMENTS TABLE

| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|-----------|---|---|
| 107 CON | IMITMENTS | |
| General | | |
| 1 | All new information given in Technical Meeting presentations will be included in the FEIS. | Final Environmental Impact Statement and Supporting Documents |
| Project [| Design Related | |
| 2 | Provide finalized mine plan and schedule to include revisions to pit designs and any changes to dike alignments. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 3 | Indicate predicted mine life of tailings dam. | Project Alternatives, Sections 6 and 7 |
| 4 | Provide updated closure schedule for dike breach and estimated location of breach. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Sections 9 and 12.5; Reclamation & Closure, Section 4.1.4.2 |
| 4a | ACRES: Provide summary and include statements in the FEIS on the dike reclamation after the mine closure, how and which of the dikes will be removed or breached, and its justifications. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Sections 9 and 12.5; Reclamation & Closure, Section 4.1.4.2 |
| 5 | Include revised wording for the elimination of options. | Project Alternatives, Sections 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, Appendices A and B |
| 6 | Provide a statement regarding closure ditch designs. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 11.1.3; Reclamation & Closure, Section 4.6.1 |
| 7 | Discuss details of infrastructure disposal during closure. | Reclamation & Closure, Section 4.6 |
| 8 | Provide more detail (including location and characteristics) of explosives mixing plant, ammonium nitrate storage, and magazines, including quantities and distances to vulnerable features. | Hazardous Materials Management, Sections 1.2 and 4.0; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Figure 2.6 |
| 9 | Provide information regarding sewage and solids waste management. The FEIS will also provide information regarding the volume of camp sewage that will report to the Tailings Impoundment Area (TIA) in order to address why sewage inputs were not included in water quality modeling for the TIA. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 14; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 10 | Provide mineral reserve numbers. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 11 | Provide a figure with a cross-section through each pit and combine the figures on one sheet. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 12 | Include all elevations and scales on any drawings and cross-sections in FEIS. | Final Environmental Impact Statement and Supporting Documents |
| 13 | Provide clearly labeled and updated figure of all project components. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Figure 2.6; Mine Waste & Water Management, Figure 2.1; Project Alternatives, Figure 3.1; Reclamation & Closure, Figure 2.1 |
| Permafro | ost | |
| 14 | Include up-to-date raw thermistor data and geothermal modeling. | Baseline Physical Ecosystem, Section 6 (permafrost baseline conditions) and Appendix E (thermistor data and up to date plots); Project Alternatives (thermal modeling) |



| 107 Com | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|---------|---|--|
| 107 COM | MITMENTS | |
| 15 | Include updated map showing locations of thermistors. | Baseline Physical Ecosystem, Figures 6.2 (location of thermistors) and 6.3 (cross sections through the permafrost); Mine Waste & Water Management (information replicated in this plan) |
| 16 | Include the bathymetry of project-associated lakes. | Baseline Physical Ecosystem, Section 5 and Figure 5.1 |
| 17 | Annotate the permafrost cross-section with thermistors, showing depth and location of proximal thermistors. | Baseline Physical Ecosystem, Figures 6.2 (location of thermistors) and 6.3 (cross sections through the permafrost); Mine Waste & Water Management (information replicated in this plan) |
| 18 | Provide statement in FEIS on monitoring Tailings freeze back. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 7 |
| 18a | Rationale to be provided for monitoring program. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 7 |
| Groundw | ater | |
| 19 | Provide location of groundwater monitoring wells onto maps. | Baseline Physical Ecosystem, Section 5 and Figures 5.5 (locations of boreholes for hydraulic conductivity testing) and 5.6; Water Quality Predictions, Appendix 2A |
| 19a | Provide revised water balance calculations. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 12 |
| 20 | Provide the characterization (hydraulic conductivities) of the fault running through the tailings area, including drilling data and results and reference this into the FEIS. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13 and Appendix E |
| 21 | Provide hydrogeological modeling assumptions and results, including those pertaining to fault feature. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13 and Appendix E |
| 22 | Provide open pit stability assessment. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 2.3 and Appendix A |
| 23 | Verify quantities and geochemistry of lake sediment and how these results are used in determining disposal of lake sediment. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 8.0 (quantities only); Static Testwork, Sections 3.2 (geochemistry) and 4.2 (management of sediments from geochemical perspective) |
| 23a | CRL to identify the locations of potential disposal sites, and provide existing data on the locations where samples were taken. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 5 (waste rock disposal sites), Section 6 (tailings disposal sites), Section 8.0 (lake bottom sediments), and Section 14 (sewage and waste disposal) |
| 24 | All technical data, including analytical results, figures, tables and information sources used in groundwater assessment to be referenced in FEIS. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13 and Appendix E |
| 24a | CRL to provide details of post operational groundwater flows between 2nd and 3rd Portage Lakes, including flow directions and water chemistry into the Portage and Goose Lake pits. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13 and Appendix E |



| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|---------|--|---|
| | MITMENTS | |
| Mine Wa | ste, Tailings Dikes and De-watering Dikes Alternatives | |
| 25 | Terrain maps for project site – to be provided to parties as soon as possible. | Baseline Physical Ecosystem, appendices |
| 26 | Provide clarification regarding the decision matrices for the Portage Waste Rock pile and Tailings Impoundment Area (as it relates to the possible effects on all affected fish-bearing lakes) - to be provided to parties as soon as possible. | Project Alternatives, Appendices A and B |
| 26a | The rationale for selecting the various factors, sub-indicators, relative weightings and the ranking of the various options needs to be supported with scientific evidence. The various options need to be clearly described with supporting rationale for each component of the option. | Project Alternatives, Appendices A and B |
| 27 | Provide clear rationale for locations of east dike and westerly portion of Goose Island pit. Clarify location of South Camp dike. | Project Alternatives, Section 3.2 |
| 28 | Provide confirmation of the capacity of the tailings impoundment area to provide for extra volume needed for ice entrapment potential, use for lake sediment disposal and future mine expansion. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 7 and Figures 7.3 and 7.10; Project Alternatives, Section 7.2.2 |
| 28a | CRL will clarify the source of till for the construction of the East dike, the construction stage at which Ultramafic (UM) rock will be placed on the dikes, whether the placement of the UM rock can be used to isolate the work area, and the level to which the UM will be placed in the context of the range of water levels in 2nd and 3rd Portage Lakes. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Sections 7 and 8 |
| 28b | Option to deepen and widen the connecting channel between 2nd to 3rd Portage Lake needs to further consider impacts to fish populations, at what time the channel will be altered (in the context of dewatering pit and tailings areas), whether this will achieve the desired result, and whether the upstream invert of the connecting channel will reduce water levels in 3rd Portage Lake as a result of construction or potential failure during operation and closure. The alternate options to discharge excess water needs to be considered. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Section 7.9; Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.1.1.6 |
| 28c | The impact of reduced flows in downstream channels will address the continued ability of fish to access upstream habitat during the period of refilling the pits, particularly during spring freshet. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Section 7.9; Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.1.1.6 |
| 28d | An analysis of the long-term stability of the East dike and tailings dams will be provided to address the risk of failure beyond closure. | Project Alternatives, Sections 5, 7, 4.1.5 and 5.4 |
| Geochen | nical Program and Water Quality | |
| 29 | Provide a rationale for monitoring plans for groundwater and permafrost. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 16; Reclamation & Closure, Section 7 |
| 30 | Show location of reference lakes and cross reference as appropriate. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Figure 4.2 |
| 30a | Show locations of reference lakes outside the mine area, where baseline data were collected, and include this figure in the FEIS. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Figure 4.2 |
| 31 | Include a discussion of mitigation measures for the potential effects of the fault under tailings dike (i.e., possible grouting, and/or artificial freezing) in FEIS within the context of groundwater modeling during operation and post-closure period. | Project Alternatives, Section 7.5.1 |



| 107 Com | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|---------|---|--|
| 107 COM | MITMENTS | |
| 32 | All data and results from geochemistry, including water quality predictions, will be provided as soon as possible and will also be included in the FEIS. | Kinetic Testwork; Static Testwork; Water Quality Predictions |
| 32a | Provide details of different rock lithologies (mineralogy, geochemistry, Acid Rock Drainage (ARD) and Metal Leaching (ML) potentials). Detailed sulphide and carbonate mineralogy, including heterogeneity in UM rocks to assess impact of capping Potentially Acid Generating (PAG) and ML rocks. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 3 |
| 33 | Further document sensitivity results on water quality predictions. Provide ranges of predicted concentrations. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13 (range of TDS values) |
| 34 | Provide the updated Whole Lake Water Quality Predictions. | Water Quality Predictions |
| 34a | Provide the updated Whole Lake Water Quality Predictions, including areas within/along created fish habitat and the degradation time to an acceptable level in the FEIS. | Water Quality Predictions; Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.2.5 (impact of effluent discharge on fish and fish habitat) and 6.2.1 (impact of dike operation on fish habitat) |
| 34b | Provide the updated information on "Whole Lake Water Quality Predictions", which contains references and analyses, including detail modeling on the diffusion of metal leachate concentrations as water is flowing out from dikes. | Water Quality Predictions, Sections 5.14 and 5.2.8 and Appendix 4 |
| 35 | Provide information regarding the volume of camp sewage that will report to the Tailings Impoundment Area (TIA) in order to address why sewage inputs were not included in water quality modeling for the TIA. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 14; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 36 | Include information on the timing and multistage pumping of dewatering as possible mitigation measures to help address Total Suspended Solid (TSS) levels. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 16.3.1; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 37 | Provide long term post closure groundwater flows, pit lake stratigraphic, and chemistry analysis. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13.2.2; Reclamation & Closure, Section 4.1 |
| 38 | Provide inflow modeling to determine groundwater inflow quantity and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) concentration during mine operation to NRCan. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13 |
| 39 | Provide the 'Mine Site Water Quality Modeling Predictions' report, including static and kinetic test modeling assumptions/justifications. | Kinetic Testwork; Water Quality Predictions, Sections 3.0 and 4.0 (modeling assumptions) |
| 39a | Provide 'Mine Site Water Quality Predictions' information, which includes static and kinetic test modeling assumptions/justification. Detailed information on initial test results and their utilization fact to justify reduced concentrations due to channeling (hydrology), particle size distribution, climate, tailings deposition plan. | Water Quality Predictions, Section 3.0 |
| 40 | AVS (Acid Volatile Sulfides) and SEM (Simultaneously Extractable Metals) studies have been completed and results will be provided. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 41 | The FEIS will include a discussion as to why processed ore toxicity data is not presented. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 42 | Clarify the operational plan for the handling and control of the PAG waste. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 5.4; Project Alternatives, Section 3.3 |
| 43 | Provide case histories to support PAG waste management option. | Project Alternatives, Sections 3.3 and 3.4, Appendices A and B |



| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|---------|--|--|
| 107 COM | MITMENTS | |
| 44 | Provide a materials balance showing available waste rock types (UM, IV, IF/PAG, non-PAG) versus volumes of disposal in waste rock pile and volumes needed for construction of various mine components. If waste rock is going to a waste rock pile, indicate which pile. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 2 (materials) |
| 45 | Provide maps indicating locations of samples for PAG rock determination in FEIS. | Baseline Physical Ecosystem, report and appendices; Static Testwork, Appendix I and Drawings 1 and 2 |
| 46 | Include the schedule and process by which attenuation pond water from 2nd Portage Lake area would be moved (in year 5) to Goose pit. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 7 |
| 47 | Identify the revised size of Portage Waste rock pile considering that portion of waste rock being moved to Goose pit and confirm that fish-bearing waters will not be impacted. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Sections 5.5 and 5.7 |
| 48 | Provide regulatory criteria used to identify PAG rock. | Kinetic Testwork, Section 3.3; Static Testwork, Section 2.2 |
| 49 | Clarify the circumstances under which quartzite will be used as aggregate, including options for mitigating any impacts from the inclusion of this PAG material. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Executive Summary Table "Summary of Geochemistry Considerations", and Appendix A |
| 50 | Provide information on how the freezing of the tailings impoundment takes into account the groundwater inflow. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 13.2.3 |
| 51 | Provide case histories on exothermic reactions and their effect on tailings freezing. | Project Alternatives, Appendix A |
| 51a | Provide sulphide mineral content of tailings. | Static Testwork, Section 3.5 |
| 52 | Provide cyanide storage and transport details. | Hazardous Materials Management, Sections 1.2 and 2.2.1 and Appendix A |
| 53 | Provide more detail on adaptive management and monitoring in relation to Vault waste rock pile. | Reclamation & Closure, Section 4.4 |
| 53a | Provide statement that the Vault waste rock disposal area is not expected to require a capping layer above the waste rock after mine closure, due to its current evaluation of favourable rock chemistry. However, monitoring will be carried out in the Vault waste rock piles throughout the mine operation. | Project Alternatives, Section 3.5.2 |
| 54 | Include information/sensitivity analysis regarding how extreme events and above normal lake levels could impact the GoldSim water balance graphs, to ensure that the design and impact assessment takes extreme events into consideration. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 10.2.2 (design criteria) |
| 55 | CRL to provide the reference document showing baseline water quality in the various lakes, including location, time and sampling of the water for chemical testing. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Table 5.1 |
| 56 | Additional geothermal modeling to be carried out and provided to parties as soon as possible. General agreements include: | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 6.5; Project Alternatives, Section 3.7 |
| а | Global warming attenuates to zero change at 100 years. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 6.5; Project Alternatives, Section 3.7 |
| b | The 4.4 degrees C variation between MAAT and MAGT is unrealistic to model. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 6.5; Project Alternatives, Section 3.7 |
| С | Will use variation in the magnitude of predicted climate change within the 100 year period so as to provide a broader range of geothermal effects. The range consider accepted climate change predictions. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 6.5; Project Alternatives, Section 3.7 |



| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|------------|---|--|
| 107 CON | MITMENTS | |
| Wildlife a | and Terrestrial | |
| 57 | Update Ecological Land Classification surveys to reflect the concerns of interveners, particularly with respect to ground-truthing. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 3 |
| 58 | Update wildlife Cumulative Effects Assessment including expanding the Caribou RSA to include winter ranges in northern Manitoba and Saskatchewan. | Cumulative Effect Assessment |
| 59 | Describe environmental health monitoring program, including methodology for collecting baseline data for screening level risk assessment for terrestrial animals and country foods. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Management, Appendix II |
| 60 | Update wildlife monitoring plan. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Management |
| 61 | Provide rationale for not doing waterbird surveys along Tehek-Quoich-Lunan rivers. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 5.2.2.3 |
| 62 | Clearly describe methods used to determine high, moderate or low suitability habitat for each wildlife VEC. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Impact Assessment, Section 2.5.3 |
| 63 | Assess impacts of mine-related disturbance on wildlife and the effect on habitat effectiveness. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Impact Assessment, Sections 4 to 9 |
| 64 | Provide supporting documentation related to impact assessment methodology. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Impact Assessment, Sections 4 to 9 |
| 65 | Update Local Study Area (LSA) and RSA boundaries, including: | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 2.4 |
| а | The change to RSA to reflect the new access road. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 2.4 |
| b | Expanded LSA around Vault area encompassing both the original LSA areas. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 2.4 |
| С | Defined LSA for the all-weather road. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 2.4 |
| 66 | Assess wildlife and terrestrial baseline conditions along access road and conduct overall impact assessment. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem; Terrestrial Ecosystem Impact Assessment |
| 67 | Assess impact of quarry and borrow sites on wildlife and terrestrial VECs. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix F |
| 68 | Provide mitigation measures and protocols related to problem wildlife. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Management, Appendix I |
| 69 | Provide the aerial wildlife sampling survey methodologies as soon as possible and reference in the FEIS. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 5.2.2.3 |
| Aquatic | | |
| 70 | Make appropriate comparisons to drinking water guidelines in tables referring to water quality. | Water Quality Predictions; Physical Ecosystem Impact Assessment; Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment |
| 71 | Explicitly describe frequency of effluent toxicity testing under MMER. | Metal Mining Effluent Regulations, throughout |
| 72 | Provide discussion relating to the concern of introduction of TSS into 3rd Portage Lake from 2nd Portage Lake during dewatering. If necessary, also provide the mitigation plans. | Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.1.2 |
| 73 | Clarify the methodology and rationale for habitat mapping and quantification and compare to other mines e.g. Ekati and Snap Lake | No-Net-Loss of Habitat |



| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|---------|--|--|
| 107 CON | IMITMENTS | |
| 73a | The relative advantages and disadvantages of the fish habitat model will be compared to other Northern mining projects models such as EKATI, Diavik and Snap Lake. The proponent will incorporate indicators of productivity (i.e. CPUE), where appropriate, in support of the fish habitat model. The fish habitat model will incorporate species- and life-stage specific differences for all fish species in the project-affected waterbodies into the fish habitat model. Specifically, the model will account for habitat requirements of rare species such as burbot, stickleback and sculpin. | No-Net-Loss of Habitat |
| 74 | Provide results of all fish studies conducted along the all-weather road route, including the assessment of possible increased fishing pressure on the lakes near the road in FEIS. | Habitat & Fisheries Assessment: All-Weather Road |
| 74a | Provide results of all fish studies (using appropriate sampling times and techniques) conducted along the all-weather road route including the assessment of possible increase in fishing pressure on the lakes near the road. | Habitat & Fisheries Assessment: All-Weather Road |
| 75 | Provide account for residual habitat loss in the following areas: | No-Net-Loss of Habitat |
| 75a | in smaller areas like Phaser Lake; | No-Net-Loss of Habitat, Section 5 |
| 75b | extended airstrip; | No-Net-Loss of Habitat |
| 75c | small fish bearing ponds (if any); and | No-Net-Loss of Habitat |
| 75d | Baker Lake barge landing facility. | Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.3.5.1 |
| 75i | All fish habitat, regardless of relative value, will be included in the calculations of losses and gains of fish habitat. Improving access to Third Portage Lake will further consider implications on existing fish populations in those lakes. Compensation associated with the proposed TIA, if justified, will be presented separately. A contingency plan will be developed for fish habitat enhancements due to failure and/or delays in the FEIS. DFO will provide Cumberland with DFO perspective on what has worked/not worked at other mines in the NWT (e.g., EKATI, Diavik) to "avoid reinvention of the wheel". | No-Net-Loss of Habitat |
| 76 | Provide more information based on recent literature research regarding intra-species habitat utilization of project lakes. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Sections 7.2 and 7.4 No-Net-Loss of Habitat, Sections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 |
| 77 | Provide thresholds and explanation for the level of change in sediment chemistry that would justify the collection of benthos to monitor contaminant levels. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 78 | Provide literature review and discussion related to water column oxygen concentration during winter and indicate how late winter sampling will be conducted in 2006. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Section 5.1 and Figures 5.1a to 5.1f |
| 79 | Provide further detail on water treatment technologies. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 80 | Provide more background information on the potential change in trophic level from nutrient discharge in effluent. | Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.2.5.1 |
| 81 | Identify particular areas along shoreline of 3rd Portage Lake that might be at risk from slumping during dewatering of 2nd Portage Lake. | Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.1.2 |
| 81a | Identify particular areas along shoreline of 3rd Portage Lake that might be at risk from slumping during dewatering of 2nd Portage Lake to improve impact prediction and mitigation measures during higher than anticipated years. Impact of increased flows on downstream areas of Second Portage Lake to be addressed | Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.1.2 |



| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|---------|---|--|
| 107 CON | IMITMENTS | |
| 82 | Account for habitat loss in Phaser Lake as a result of 1m drop in water level. | No-Net-Loss of Habitat, Section 5 |
| 83 | Update maps to reflect the one intake pipe. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Figure 2.6; Mine Waste & Water Management, Figure 2.1; Project Alternatives, Figure 3.1; Reclamation & Closure, Figure 2.1 |
| 83a | The Proponent will identify the location for the freshwater intake pipe to service the mine throughout the operations, and will ensure the location avoids sensitive fish habitat. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Figure 2.6; Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.13 |
| 84 | Cumberland will double check if lake bed sediments will be used in construction of the core of the dikes and if so, this will be stated in FEIS. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 8.1 |
| 85 | Ensure that the blast management plan accounts for DFO addendum relating to blast design during periods when water bodies are ice covered. | Project Alternatives, Section 4.1 |
| 85a | A Blast Design Report will be submitted, taking into account the DFO addendum relating to blast design during frozen conditions. | Project Alternatives, Section 4.1 |
| 86 | Committed to make sure that intake pipe is located away from any sensitive habitat. | Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.13; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Figure 2.6 |
| 87 | The ultimate fate of salvaged fish from project-affected waterbodies will be presented and incorporate the DFO Fish-Out Protocol adjusted for the project and the wishes of Baker Lake residents. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A; Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Section 6.1.2.2; Aquatic Effects Management Program, Sections 8.2.3 and 8.2.5 |
| 88 | The results for all past aquatic studies, including sampling methodology, time, dates, and locations to allow the determination of additional sampling of lower trophic levels required for 2005 and beyond that is required to provide an adequate understanding of the natural variability in support of monitoring of project-related impacts during construction, operation and closure. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem |
| 89 | The results from the 2004 and 2005 aquatic baseline sampling program will be incorporated into the integrated aquatic baseline data. Fish passage/movement in project-affected watercourses, the identification of limited or life-stage specific habitat types (spawning) and the confirmation of other fish species (i.e., Arctic grayling, burbot) will be further sampled using various sampling techniques (minnow traps, seines etc.) conducted at appropriate times of the year, in support of impact prediction and the No-Net-Loss plan. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem |
| 90 | CRL will clarify that char do occur upstream of the falls. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Section 7.9 |
| 91 | Fisheries surveys for Phaser Lake, NF-1 and the associated connecting channels will be conducted to determine species presence, abundance and habitat function. Project-affected watercourse in the barge landing facility, with the potential to support fisheries, will be sampled. All surveys will be conducted at appropriate times of the year to take advantage of any potential spring-spawning fish or migration of fish during spring freshet. | Baseline Aquatic Ecosystem, Sections 7.4 (results for Phaser Lake) and 7.9 (detailed assessment of fish movement) |



| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|-----------|--|--|
| | MITMENTS | |
| Socioeco | | |
| 92 | Southern point of hire will be identified. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Section 3.2.1, footnote 1; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 93 | Workforce requirements relative to regional human resource inventory will be incorporated into assessment of employment effects. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Sections 3.2.1.2 (human resource inventory and employment effects) and 3.2.1.3 (effects on Kivalliq businesses due to employment of workers by the project); Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| 94 | Migration effects will be re-examined. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Section 3.2.3.3 |
| 95 | The Nanisivik experience will be reviewed and referenced. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Section 2.3 |
| 96 | To the extent possible, progress on the IIBA will be integrated into socioeconomic mitigation section. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Management, all sections |
| 97 | Criteria for decommissioning the road and the approach to consultations on the road closure decision will be included in the FEIS. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A; Socioeconomic & Archaeology Management, Section 2.2.7 |
| 98 | Socioeconomic impact assessment will include recent project changes. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment; Socioeconomic & Archaeology Management |
| 99 | Potential effect of project on persons already employed in the Kivalliq region will be elaborated on. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Sections 3.2.1.2 (human resource inventory and employment effects) and 3.2.1.3 (effects on Kivalliq businesses due to employment of workers by the project) |
| 100 | Clarification on the treatment of youth as a VSEC will be provided in the FEIS. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Section 2.2.2, footnote 1 |
| 101 | The FEIS will provide additional documentation on consultation results. | Public Involvement; Traditional Knowledge |
| Air and N | loise Quality | |
| 102 | Provide update on installations of two multiple particulate samplers to measure particulate concentrations at the project site. | Air Quality & Noise Management, Section 3.4 |
| 103 | Provide detailed reporting protocol for air quality monitoring and management program. | Air Quality & Noise Management, Section 3.4.3 |
| 104 | Address conceptually the potential for dust from tailings resulting from extreme wind. | Air Quality Impact Assessment, Section 5.3.2 |
| 105 | Indicate commitment to performing at least 2 days of sound level monitoring per year. | Air Quality & Noise Management, Section 2.4 |
| 106 | Detail potential mitigation measures that may need to be implemented with regards to results from ambient disbursement noise monitoring at North Camp. | Noise Impact Assessment, Section 4 |



| | mitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|-----------|---|---|
| 107 COM | IMITMENTS | |
| Addition | al Requests | |
| 1 | Provide topographic data on Northwest Arm of the Third Portage Lake. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| Note: All | commitments made are to be reflected in the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS), unless otherwise | I stated |
| KEY ISS | UES IDENTIFIED BY THE BOARD | |
| Wildlife | | |
| а | Updated studies on wildlife movement in project area, including the area traversed by the all-season road and winter caribou. | Baseline Terrestrial Ecosystem, Section 5 |
| b | Better analysis of barriers and other options and approaches (such as air horns, fencing) to discourage wildlife from approaching the project area and especially the tailings impoundment areas. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Management, Section 3.4.2.5 and Appendix I |
| С | More analysis and discussion regarding the potential for wildlife including birds and small animals to be affected by contaminants, including acid rock drainage and wind blown contaminants. | Terrestrial Ecosystem Management, Appendix II |
| Fisheries | s and Aquatics | |
| а | More information on the dewatering program, including the effect on the water levels, connecting channels and fish passage for remaining lakes; and the fish-out program, including the process for removing the fish, the deposition of the dead or alive salvaged fish and the means for communicating the fish-out program to local residents. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A; Aquatic Ecosystem/ Fish Habitat Impact Assessment, Sections 6.1.2.2 and 6.3.5.1; Aquatic Effects Management Program, Sections 8.2.3 and 8.2.5 |
| b | Better description of the mine blasting program and the related plan to mitigate the effects of blasting on sensitive elements of fish habitat, such as eggs, food, and fry. | Project Alternatives, Section 4.1 |
| С | More analysis on acid-rock drainage to give a greater confidence that aquatic ecosystems will be protected during mine operation and post closure. | Water Quality Predictions |
| d | The effect of changes from the 2005 Mine Operations Plan on water balance. | Mine Waste & Water Management, Section 12 |
| Waste Re | ock and Tailings Management | |
| а | Better discussion of cover/capping program including cover materials, thickness, mitigation to avoid pollution of both surface and ground waters, and wind blown contaminants. | Reclamation & Closure, Section 4.5 |
| Climate (| Change Change | |
| а | The impact of climate change on tailings management. | Project Alternatives, Sections 3, 6 and 7 |
| Chemica | als Management | |
| а | Better description of cyanide used in the Project mining process. | Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A; Hazardous Materials Management, Sections 1.2 and 2.2.1 and Appendix A |
| b | Better description of the Project's proposed blasting program and ammonium nitrate and explosives materials storage and management. | Hazardous Materials Management, Sections 1.2 and 4.0; Project Alternatives, Section 4.1 and Appendix C |



| | nmitments Made by Cumberland Resources Ltd. for Final EIS and Key Issues Identified by the Board | Report and Section |
|----------|--|---|
| | MMITMENTS | |
| All-Weat | ther Road | |
| а | More information to address public safety, including the Proponent's plans regarding all aspects of traffic control and every aspect of cooperation with the community to plan for and resolve concerns. | Access & Air Traffic Management; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| b | Exploration of regulatory aspects of the road, such as traffic control, including consultation with the Hamlet of Baker Lake, the Federal Government (including INAC if appropriate), and the GN to determine the potential roles all levels of government will play in regulation of the road. | Public Involvement; Traditional Knowledge; Access & Air Traffic Management; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| С | Long term options for the road, including the exploration of options to keep the road open after mine closure and maintenance plans for the road in the event the decision is made to keep the road open. | Access & Air Traffic Management; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| Shipping | g and Marine | |
| а | Full explanation of potential impacts from increased shipping traffic and potential for spills, including consultation with Chesterfield Inlet and how and whether or not sections 6.2.2 and 6.2.3 of the NCLA, including the Government of Canada designation of a person who is liable for marine transportation, applies. | Public Involvement; Traditional Knowledge; Cumulative Effects Assessment; Final Environmental Impact Statement, Appendix A |
| Socio-E | conomics | |
| а | Comparison with Arctic Bay/Nanisivik mine experience, and perhaps Eastmain, to assess the potential social and economic effects on the satellite community (of Baker Lake) affected by the mine. This includes the effect of closure of the mine and road on the 737 airstrip at the Project site. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Sections 2.3 (applicability of other mining experiences, including Nanisivik) and 2.2.7 (all weather access road closure and alternatives) |
| b | Effect of the mine on the Hamlet of Baker Lake and local service providers from problems caused by alcohol and safety. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Section 3.2.3.1 |
| С | Essentially, a better discussion of the potential negative social effects on Baker Lake community as well as the potential effects of hiring from the greater Kivalliq region, including Chesterfield Inlet. | Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Sections 3.2.2.2 (migration), 3.2.1.3 (effects on Kivalliq businesses due to employment of workers by the project), 3.2.3.1 (effects of alcohol consumption) and 3.2.3.2 (rotational employment); Public Involvement |
| Traditio | nal Knowledge | |
| a | Better discussion of the use of Traditional Knowledge in reaching conclusions in the Final EIS, particularly with regard to the impact of the road on Baker Lake, and the impact of the project on other Kivalliq communities (concerns regarding the lack of jobs for Chesterfield Inlet and Rankin Inlet, and the issue of off-loading fuel and shipping up the river from the Chesterfield Inlet area). | Public Involvement; Traditional Knowledge; Cumulative Effects Assessment; Socioeconomic & Archaeology Impact Assessment, Section 3.2 (rationale for preferential employment accorded to Baker Lake), 3.2.1.3 (effect on Kivalliq businesses due to employment of workers by the project) and 3.2.3.2 (potential effects of all weather access road); Socioeconomic & Archaeology Management, 2.2.7 (all weather access road closure and alternatives) |