

Appendix G: Updated Monitoring and Management Plans

APPENDIX G.6: SHIPPING MANAGEMENT PLAN



AGNICO EAGLE

HOPE BAY PROJECT

Shipping Management Plan

MARCH 2024
VERSION 3

Revisions

Revision #	Date	Section	Changes Summary	Author
0	August 2019	-	New Plan	TMAC
1	April 2020	Throughout	Minor updates & new logo	TMAC
2	February 2023	Section 2, Section 4, Section 5, Appendix A	Updates to sensitive habitat maps, create monitoring methods for effects of vessel noise on marine wildlife, add appendix with materials provided to vessel operators	Agnico Eagle
3	March 2024	Section 4.1, Appendix A	Revised to support Agnico Eagle formatting and adjust discrepancies with Marine Mammal Monitoring Methods and update Agnico Contacts for reporting structures	Agnico Eagle Permitting Department

Table of Contents

Revisions..... i

Table of Contents ii

 Tables iii

 Figures iii

 Appendices iii

Glossaryiv

1. Introduction 1

 1.1 Objectives 1

 1.2 Relevant Legislation and Guidance 2

 1.3 Roles and Responsibilities..... 3

 1.4 Plan Management..... 3

2. Shipping and Marine Wildlife 3

 2.1 Habitat Where Mitigation Applies..... 3

 2.2 Shipping Setback Distances 1

 2.3 Materials Provided to Vessel Operators 1

3. Shipping Near Marine Mammals and Seabirds 1

4. Monitoring Vessel Noise and Marine Wildlife – Roberts Bay..... 2

 4.1 Methods 2

5. Reporting..... 3

 5.1 Accidental Contact..... 3

 5.2 Incidental Observations 3

 5.3 Marine Wildlife Monitoring – Roberts Bay..... 3

6. References..... 4

Appendix A. Materials Provided to Vessel Operators..... 5

Tables

Table 3-1. Recommended Shipping Mitigation Responses for Seabirds and Marine Mammals.....1

Figures

Figure 2-1. Key Habitat for Seabirds and Seaducks along the Nominal Shipping Route 1

Figure 2-2. Key Habitat for Marine Mammals along the Nominal Shipping Route 2

Appendices

Appendix A. Materials Provided to Vessel Operators.....5

Glossary

Term	Definition
Agnico Eagle	Agnico Eagle Mines Limited
CCG	Canadian Coast Guard
CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
CEPA	Canadian Environmental Protection Act
DFO	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
ECCC	Environment and Climate Change Canada
ECCC-EPS	Environment and Climate Change Canada –Environmental Protection Service
IEAC	Inuit Environmental Advisory Committee
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
NIRB	Nunavut Impact Review Board
NWB	Nunavut Water Board
OPEP	Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SOPEP	Shipboard Oil Pollution Emergency Plan
TC	Transport Canada
the Project	The Hope Bay Project

1. Introduction

This Hope Bay Shipping Management Plan (the Plan) has been prepared by Agnico Eagle Mines Limited (Agnico Eagle) in accordance with the Hope Bay Project's Nunavut Impact Review Board (NIRB) Project Certificate No.009. As per the NIRB Project Certificate (No. 009) Conditions #30, 31, 32, and 33 a Shipping Management Plan has been developed to guide mitigation of shipping operations in response to identified sensitive wildlife areas and wildlife observations. The Conditions state the following:

Condition #30: The Proponent shall contract only Transport Canada certified vessels to carry cargo or fuel for the Project, and shall ensure shippers are informed of the Proponent's applicable management plans and commitments designed to address potential adverse ecosystemic effects of shipping activities to the marine environment.

Condition #31: The Proponent shall provide its contracted vessel operators with maps and descriptions of key marine bird habitats as well as information on sensitive marine mammal habitats in the Northwest Passage, updated annually to include newly published information as it becomes available. The guidance package shall specify that, subject to vessel safety requirements, key wildlife habitats shall be avoided by a distance of at least 500 metres, and wildlife are to be given the right of way. The Proponent shall work with Fisheries and Oceans Canada to ensure that marine mammal mitigation measures common for all vessels in the Canadian Arctic are applied to project-contracted vessels as appropriate.

Condition #32: The Proponent shall ensure that shippers retained for project related shipping immediately report any accidental contact by project vessels with marine mammals or seabird colonies to Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Environment and Climate Change Canada respectively. The Proponent shall also ensure that the circumstances of the incident are investigated to determine if additional mitigative measures are required.

Condition #33: The Proponent shall develop a monitoring protocol for assessing disturbance to marine wildlife resulting from project-related underwater noise in Roberts Bay, and to facilitate assessment of the potential short term, long term, and cumulative effects of project-related noise (including vessel noise in Roberts Bay) on marine wildlife. The Proponent is expected to work with Fisheries and Oceans Canada to determine appropriate indicators and thresholds that can be used to determine if negative impacts on marine wildlife are occurring, and adaptive management measures to mitigate adverse impacts of project-related noise.

This Plan addresses these Conditions and is intended primarily for use by Agnico Eagle and its contractors to ensure that Project Certificate conditions are followed, and applicable regulatory requirements are met.

1.1 Objectives

The purpose of this Plan is to ensure that Agnico Eagle expectations are communicated to the shipping contractor for marine shipping activities related to Agnico Eagle's Hope Bay Project. Consistent with Agnico Eagle's intent to be a responsible operator, these expectations are:

- Vessel operators and captains shall follow all applicable laws and regulations;

- Shipping regulators, appropriate to a given jurisdiction, such as Transport Canada (TC), are responsible for enforcing applicable laws and regulations;
- All vessel operators and captains shall respect distance buffers stated within the Plan, if it is safe to do so at the discretion of the vessel captain; and
- Vessel operators and/or captains shall record and report vessel strikes with marine wildlife (seabirds' and marine mammals) to appropriate authorities as required by law, and to Agnico Eagle as soon as reasonably possible as outlined in this Plan.

1.2 Relevant Legislation and Guidance

All shipping companies operating in Canadian territorial waters must abide by the Canadian regulatory framework. The Shipping Management Plan was developed in accordance with federal legislation.

Shipping in Canada is regulated by the following (available online here):

- *Canada Shipping Act*;
- *Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act*;
- *Marine Liability Act*;
- *Coasting Trade Act*;
- *Fisheries Act*;
- *Navigable Waters Protection Act*; and
- *Marine Transportation Security Act*.

The *Canada Shipping Act*, the *Marine Liability Act*, and the *Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act* combine to provide Canada's operational regulatory regime governing marine safety and environmental protection issues in the Arctic. These federal laws and regulations aim to promote marine safety, prevent pollution, provide a framework to respond to incidents, and address related liabilities and compensation issues.

Transport Canada is the lead agency regulating shipping in Canadian jurisdiction – waters out to the 200 nautical mile limit. Other federal agencies and departments, such as Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), the Canadian Coast Guard (CCG) and Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), have distinct but interrelated responsibilities for the management of marine transportation safety and environmental protection in the Arctic. Transport Canada works with these federal agencies and departments to establish the regulatory framework and mechanisms that provide a coherent and consistent approach to aspects of marine transportation safety and environmental protection.

The *Canada Shipping Act* provides an overall mechanism to protect safety and the environment for vessels operating in Canadian waters. Its regulations include requirements for a vessel's construction, how it manages ballast water, its pollution control equipment, arrangements for emergency response, and its crew qualifications.

The *Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act* provides enhanced protection for vessels operating in Canadian jurisdiction north of 60° North latitude. It provides specific construction standards for vessels engaged in Arctic

shipping, a system of shipping safety control zones, a ban on discharges of oil, hazardous chemicals, and garbage, and requirements for vessels to carry insurance to cover damages from any of these discharges.

The *Marine Liability Act* sets out a regime that requires vessels operating in Canadian jurisdiction to carry insurance to pay for damages from oil spills. In the event of a conflict between the *Arctic Waters Pollution Prevention Act* and the *Marine Liability Act*, the latter applies.

1.3 Roles and Responsibilities

Agnico Eagle is not a shipping company and does not own any vessels. Vessel operators and captains are responsible for ensuring that all regulations are met.

Agnico Eagle's Procurement Group is responsible for providing ship operators this Plan.

Agnico Eagle does not possess the expertise to impose navigational requirements in terms of safety at sea, emergency responses on ships, crew qualifications, or other specialized requirements on shipping providers. This expertise lies with the vessel operators and applicable government authorities.

1.4 Plan Management

The Shipping Management Plan is reviewed annually and updated as necessary by Agnico Eagle's Environmental Department in consultation as needed with other disciplines or subject matter experts.

This plan is designed to be effective and achievable in both the short and long term. Components of the Shipping Management Plan may need to be revised over the life of the Project based on regulatory changes.

2. Shipping and Marine Wildlife

2.1 Habitat Where Mitigation Applies

Sensitive habitat for marine birds has been identified along the Project shipping route as shown in Figure 2-1. Habitat Sites have been rated as either Highly Risk Intolerant and Moderately Risk Intolerant according to ECCC habitat ratings (ECCC 2016), but mitigation measures are individually determined for locations where the shipping route interacts with a sensitive habitat area (Section 2.2). Sensitive habitat for marine birds include but are not limited to:

- Prince Leopold Island;
- Bathurst Inlet/Elu Inlet Key Marine Habitat Site;
- Lambert Channel Key Marine Habitat Site; and
- Eastern Lancaster Sound Key Marine Habitat Site.

Sensitive habitat for marine mammals has been identified along the Project shipping route from Lancaster Sound to Franklin Strait, see Figure 2-2

Figure 2-1. Key Habitat for Seabirds and Seaducks along the Nominal Shipping Route




Figure 2-1: Key Habitat for Seabirds and Seaducks along the Nominal Shipping Route

Figure 2-2. Key Habitat for Marine Mammals along the Nominal Shipping Route



Figure 2-2: Key Habitat for Marine Mammals along the Nominal Shipping Route

2.2 Shipping Setback Distances

Subject to safety and operational considerations, ships will adhere to the following setback distances from these sensitive habitats, as identified in the Wildlife Monitoring and Mitigation Management Plan (WMMP):

- **30 km** from Prince Leopold Island (Figure 2-1); and
- **500 m** from marine bird colonies in the Eastern Lancaster Sound, Bathurst Inlet/Elu Inlet, and Lambert Channel Key Marine Habitat Sites (Figure 2-1).

2.3 Materials Provided to Vessel Operators

In addition to providing this Plan, Agnico Eagle reviews mitigation and monitoring requirements with vessel operators prior to each shipping season. The materials provided to vessel operators are included in Appendix A.

3. Shipping Near Marine Mammals and Seabirds

In addition to known sensitive habitats described in Section 2, incidental observations of seabirds and marine mammals may occur during project-related shipping activities. At all times, vessel operators and captains shall abide by applicable federal legislation, including the Fisheries Act and its associated regulations (e.g., Marine Mammal Regulations related to the disturbance of marine mammals).

In the event staff on the bridge note one of the observations outlined in Table 3-1, they shall report the observation to the ship's captain and record the sighting to be included in the Annual WMMP Report. Providing the ship's safety is not in concern, mitigation responses outlined in Table 3-1 are recommended.

Table 3-1. Recommended Shipping Mitigation Responses for Seabirds and Marine Mammals

Observation	Response
Seabirds	
Any large group of seabirds on ocean surface while traversing sensitive habitat areas identified in Figure 2-1.	Attempt to maintain a minimum 500 m setback distance
Any colony of seabirds on land while traversing sensitive habitat areas identified in Figure 2-1.	Attempt to maintain a minimum 500 m setback distance
Marine Mammals	
Any group of marine mammals observed on the ocean surface, especially in sensitive habitat areas identified in Figure 2-2.	At the discretion of the ship's captain, the following mitigation options are suggested: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid ship strikes with marine mammals by slowing the vessel and allowing marine mammals to move out of the way. • Change ships heading to avoid groups of marine mammals. Consider adaptively managing ship speed to reduce noise disturbance. Noise disturbance can be reduced by 1.5-2.8 dB for every 1 knot reduction in speed.¹

4. Monitoring Vessel Noise and Marine Wildlife – Roberts Bay

A monitoring program will be implemented in Roberts Bay, as per Condition 33, to assess disturbance to marine wildlife resulting from vessel noise in Roberts Bay. Appropriate indicators and thresholds to determine if negative impacts on marine wildlife are occurring will be established after at least two years of data collection; indicators and thresholds cannot be set until the overall rate of marine wildlife observations can be assessed. Adaptive management measures to mitigate adverse impacts of project-related noise will be developed if required.

4.1 Methods

Presence/absence of marine wildlife (primarily seals) with and without the presence of ships will be recorded in Roberts Bay using a Before-During-After study design. For example, surveys will be conducted for approximately four days prior to the arrival of a vessel into Roberts Bay, for approximately four days while the vessel is anchored in Roberts Bay and while the barge is operating, and for approximately four days after each vessel has departed, as described below.

Before — When a vessel is scheduled to arrive at Roberts Bay, Environment staff will be deployed to conduct one 30-minute survey of the Bay throughout the day, during the four days prior to the vessel arriving. Data to be recorded will include, but is not limited to, the number and species of marine wildlife observed, number of vessels in the Bay and locations, environmental variables, etc. An SOP and datasheets will be developed prior to the shipping season.

During — While a vessel is anchored in Roberts Bay, barge trips occur daily or multiple times per day. Barge trips run over a variable period, but generally occur over one week up to several weeks. Environment staff will be deployed to Roberts Bay during at least four of the days when a vessel is anchored to complete marine wildlife surveys. Surveys will be conducted using the same methods and at the same times of day (where possible) as during the “Before” period.

After — After a vessel departs Roberts Bay, Environment staff will be deployed during another four days to conduct one 30-minute survey of the Bay throughout the day. Methods will follow those for the Before and During periods.

Before-During-After surveys will be conducted for all vessels that anchor in Roberts Bay. Results of the noise monitoring program will be included in the Annual WMMP Report. If analyses indicate the marine wildlife may be avoiding Roberts Bay while vessels are present, adaptive management measures to mitigate adverse impacts of project-related noise will be developed.

5. Reporting

The annual WMMP Report will include a summary of vessel activity, including tracks of shipping vessels, to verify that shipping routes observed setback distances in sensitive habitat areas (Section 2.2). The report will also include a summary of materials and training provided to vessel operators (Section 2.3).

5.1 Accidental Contact

If the ship's captain determines accidental contact or a 'ship strike' of a marine mammal or group of seabirds has occurred, they shall report it as required by legislation to Agnico Eagle and the appropriate regulatory authority within 24 hours.

Information required to be reported at a minimum will include:

- The date, time and location of the incident;
- The species of marine mammal or seabird involved in the incident;
- The circumstances of the incident;
- The size and type of vessel;
- The weather and sea conditions at the time of the incident; and
- The observed state of the marine mammal or seabird after the incident.

5.2 Incidental Observations

In addition to any regulatory reporting obligations, any available records of incidental observations and mitigation measures taken will be submitted to the Environment Team after each shipping trip for collation into a database. Incidental sightings will be included in the annual WMMP Report.

5.3 Marine Wildlife Monitoring – Roberts Bay

All marine wildlife monitoring survey datasheets will be submitted to the Environment Team lead after each day for collation into a database. Analyses will be conducted if sufficient data are available. A summary of monitoring conducted and results will be included in the annual WMMP Report.

6. References

Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC). 2016. *Key Habitat Sites for Migratory Birds in the Nunavut Settlement Area*. May 2016 Revision. Ottawa, Canada.

Port of Vancouver. 2018. *Enhancing Cetacean Habitat and Observation (ECHO) Program: Slowdown Trial – Interim Findings March 2018*.: <https://www.portvancouver.com/environment/water-land-wildlife/marine-mammals/echo-program/vessel-slowdown-trial-in-haro-strait/> (accessed April 2018).

Recommended Guides

Gjerdrum et al. 2012. *Eastern Canada Seabirds at Sea (ECSAS) standardized protocol for pelagic seabird surveys from moving and stationary platforms*.

Reeves et al. 2002. *National Audubon Society's Guide to marine Mammals of the World*.

Sibley. 2003. *Field Guide to the Birds of Eastern North America*.

APPENDIX A. MATERIALS PROVIDED TO VESSEL OPERATORS

REPORTING



Vessel Operators Report the Following Information Annually to Agnico Eagle:

Vessel Information:

- Name
- Dates of Transit
- Track log from Montreal to Agnico Eagle site
- General description of cargo

Incidental Observations:

- All incidental sightings of marine mammals or seabirds collected during the voyage

Agnico Eagle Contact:

Guy Dufour: guy.dufour@agnicoeagle.com

If a Collision Occurs:

Report all collisions to Agnico Eagle at:

Guy Dufour – Cell: 418-933-5799

If a Marine Mammal and Vessel Collision Occurs Contact DFO at:

Central and Arctic, Nunavut – Iqaluit: 1-867-979-8000

Captain must report the following information (as per *Marine Mammal Regulations s.39*):

- the date, time and location of the incident;
- The species of marine mammal involved in the incident;
- The circumstances of the incident;
- The size and type of vehicle;
- The weather and sea conditions at the time of the incident;
- The observed state of the marine mammal after the incident; and
- The direction of travel of the marine mammal after the incident, to the extent that it can be determined.

If a Seabird and Vessel Collision Occurs Contact:

CWS, Jean-Francois Dufour: jean-francois.dufour2@canada.ca
ECCC Wildlife Enforcement: ec.dalfnord-wednorth.ec@canada.ca